

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,821	11/04/2003	Masaru Furuta	NOG-0018	4453	
23353	7590 06/24/2005		EXAMINER		
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			HASHMI, ZIA R		
LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20036		2881	2881	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/699,821	FURUTA, MASARU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Zia R. Hashmi	2881				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 J	une 2005.					
	•					
.—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	_					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	antionibu undor 35 H C C S 440(a)) (d) ar (f)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document		(a) or (i).				
1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority document2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	•					
·	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
des the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/699,821

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to Office Action of March 22, 2005, a "Amendment" was received along with "Arguments and Remarks" on June 1, 2005. Independent claim 10 has been amended, as indicated.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to independent claims 1 and 10, and their respective dependent claims, as filed with the "Amendment", have been fully considered but they were found not persuasive.

With respect to independent claims 1 and 10 and dependent claims, Hillenkamp et al. (6,423,966) do teach, contrary to the applicant's arguments:

a) Adsorption of a sample on a membrane (col. 9, lines 12-42, notice the word "membrane" on line 38, and "permeable structure" on lines 15, col. 8, lines 57-59, notice the words "metal plate 61 which provided a substantially flat field about the substrate 3.."), and 3 & 61 in Fig 7A, 5 & 71 in Fig 9A & 9B, where clearly metal plate shown is flat. Also see col. 1, lines 27-29, col. 4, lines 64-65, and Fig. 1). Futhermore, Fig. 1 shows a representative set up for matrix assisted laser desorption and ionization, or MALDI, (col. 4, lines 60-65), application of reagent to the adsorbed sample (col. 5, lines 4-9), and sample analysis (col. 5, lines 26-27). Thus, applicant's arguments are incomplete analysis of the Hillenkamp et al. reference, and not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2881

Conclusion

3. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zia Hashmi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2473. The examiner can normally be reached between 8.30 AM- 5 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2477.

NIKITA WELLS
PRIMARY EXAMINER 06/29/05

Zia Hashmi,

June 23, 2005.